IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1195 OF 2005

Tejuram Isardas Lalwani & Ors ...Petitioners

Versus

Shri. Salar Abdul Karim Mulla & Ors...Respondents

.....

Mr. Shantaram Dhepale i/b R.V. More, Advocate for Petitioners

Mr. D.P. Adsule, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 26TH JULY, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for quashing of process issued against them in R.C.C.No.310 of 1995 which is pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C. Court No.3, Solapur. In the said case, process has been issued against the petitioners under Section 467 r.w. 34 of I.P.C.

3. For the reasons recorded in my order dated 13th June, 2005 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No.213 of 2005 and order dated 21st June, 2005 passed in

Criminal Application No.550 of 2005, the petitioners have an efficacious remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my opinion, it would be appropriate that the petitioners prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned advocate for the petitioners states that the necessary revision would be preferred within a period of four weeks from today. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial for a period of four weeks from today.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by the petitioners before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the same on merits after hearing necessary parties.

6. Writ Petition is disposed of.

*****